

Remarks/Arguments

Enclosed is a Petition for a two-month extension of the period for response to the open Office Action. This response is therefore timely.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 3 and 10 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,121,028 to Milili in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,152,685 to Renders. The Examiner has admitted that

"Milili does not disclose the edge having a second radial angular portion at a second location within the window region" as set forth in Claim 1. The Examiner has relied upon Renders to show this feature. The Examiner is requested to reconsider his rejection.

Renders teaches formation of a saddle coil by folding a flat round coil. Column 2, lines 44-49, discloses that the wire turns on either side of folding line 17, enclose an angle of substantially zero degrees. This means that the fold is 180°, so that the turns are arranged one over the other at these areas. Nowhere does Renders show or suggest

"a harness portion defined by an edge extending laterally at a constant first radial angular position of about zero degrees from the rear portions to a first location within the window region, the edge having a second radial angular portion greater than zero degrees at a second location within the window region"

as specifically set forth in Claim 1. In fact, a side elevation shown in Figure 2e shows that the edge of the harness portion of Renders et al. has a continuously varying radial angular position within the window region of the winding. Nowhere is there any teaching or suggestion in Renders et al. which would cause a person skilled in the art to change the harness portion of Milili, shown in Figures 3a, 4, 5 and 6, which extends at about zero degrees along its entire window portion, to an arrangement as set forth in Claim 1 where:

"an edge extending laterally at a constant first radial angle position of about zero degrees from the rear portions to a first location within the window region, the edge

having a second radial angular position greater than zero degrees at a second location within the window region."

In other words, the bottom edge of the winding of Milili is flat within the window region. The bottom edge of Renders et al. is continuously curved within the window region. Nowhere is there any teaching or suggestion in either of the cited references that the edge should be flat from the rear portion to a first location within the window region, and having a second radial angular position greater than zero degrees at a second location within the window region, as specifically recited in Claim 1.

The Applicants therefore submit that even if the two cited references were to be taken together, there would be no teaching or suggestion of the invention set forth in Claim 1.

The Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 8 and 9.

The Applicants submit that Claims 3 and 10 add further advantageous features to the invention defined by Claim 1, and are patentable as their parent claim.

The Applicants therefore submit that this application is now in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 20, 2007

By 
Daniel E. Sragow, Attorney
Registration No. 22,856
609-734-6892

Patent Operation
Thomson Licensing LLC
Two Independence Way, Suite 200
Princeton, NJ 08540

kms